

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/341,196	07/06/1999	SUNITA DESOUSA	1103326-0571	4864
7470	7590 07/22/2002			
WHITE & CASE LLP			EXAMINER	
PATENT DEPARTMENT 1155 AVENUE OF THE AMERICAS NEW YORK, NY 10036			GABEL, GAILENE	
NEW TORK,	141 10000		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1641	ι Λ
			DATE MAILED: 07/22/2002	K

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action

Application No.

O9/341,196

Examiner

Gailene R. Gabel

Applicant(s)

DESOUSA ET AL.

Art Unit

1641

-The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

THE REPLY FILED 10 July 2002 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may <u>only</u> be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.

inal rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may <u>or</u> condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed N Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37	Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued CFR 1.114.
<u>PI</u>	ERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]
a) The period for reply expires months from	om the mailing date of the final rejection.
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the more event, however, will the statutory periods.	nailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In od for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 (see have been filed is the date for purposes of determined under 37 CFR 1 17(a) is calculated from: (1) the	CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension mining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or eceived by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if ustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).
 A Notice of Appeal was filed on <u>09</u>. 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension 	July 2002. Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.
2. The proposed amendment(s) will no	ot be entered because:
(a) X they raise new issues that wou	old require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);
(b) they raise the issue of new ma	tter (see Note below);
(c) they are not deemed to place t issues for appeal; and/or	he application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the
(d) they present additional claims	without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet	
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the	following rejection(s):
 Newly proposed or amended claim(canceling the non-allowable claim((s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment s).
	c) request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the ce because: See Continuation Sheet.
raised by the Examiner in the final	
 For purposes of Appeal, the propose explanation of how the new or ame 	sed amendment(s) a)⊠ will not be entered or b)□ will be entered and an ended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.
The status of the claim(s) is (or will	be) as follows:
Claim(s) allowed: NONE.	
Claim(s) objected to: NONE	
Claim(s) rejected: 1-9.	
Claim(s) withdrawn from considera	ution: <u>NONE</u> .
8. The proposed drawing correction fil	ed on is a) ☐ approved or b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.
9. Note the attached Information Discl	osure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)
0. Other:	Christyle L. Chin She
	CHRISTOPHER L. CHIN PRIMARY EXAMINER CROUP 1009 (1)

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office

Continuation of 2. NOTE: Claim 2 is amended to require that the peptidoglycan synthesis is terminated in step 1) of the method, and in step 3) that the lectin-coated beads would bind any radiolabeled peptidoglycan synthesized in step 1). Such limitations and issues have not been previously considered and therefore, require further consideration and search for evaluation of patentability of the claim.

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: new limitations incorporated into claim 2 requires further consideration and search for evaluation of patentability.